

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
7 August 2003 (07.08.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 03/063725 A2**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **A61D**

(74) Agent: **MICHAUD, Susan, M.**; Clark & Elbing LLP, 101 Federal Street, Boston, MA 02110 (US).

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US02/33795

(22) International Filing Date: 21 October 2002 (21.10.2002)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
60/346,845 19 October 2001 (19.10.2001) US

(63) Related by continuation (CON) or continuation-in-part (CIP) to earlier application:  
US 60/346,845 (CIP)  
Filed on 19 October 2001 (19.10.2001)

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **ACAM-BIS, INC.** [US/US]; 38 Sidney Street, Cambridge, MA 02139 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **MONATH, Thomas, P.** [US/US]; 21 Finn Road, Harvard, MA 01451 (US). **ARROYO, Juan** [US/US]; 102 Ryder Road, South Weymouth, MA 02190 (US).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

**Published:**

— without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.



**WO 03/063725 A2**

(54) Title: METHODS OF PREVENTING AND TREATING FLAVIVIRUS INFECTION IN ANIMALS

(57) Abstract: The invention provides methods of preventing and treating flavivirus infection in animals.

5                    METHODS OF PREVENTING AND TREATING FLAVIVIRUS  
                         INFECTION IN ANIMALS

Field of the Invention

                         This invention relates to methods of preventing and treating flavivirus infection  
10    in animals.

Background of the Invention

                         Flaviviruses are small, enveloped, positive-strand RNA viruses that are of  
                         concern in many medical and veterinary settings throughout the world. West Nile (WN)  
15    virus, for example, which is a member of the flavivirus family, is the causative agent of  
                         WN encephalitis, an infectious, non-contagious, arthropod-borne viral disease (Monath  
                         et al., "Flaviviruses," In *Virology*, Fields (ed.), Raven-Lippincott, New York, 1996, pp.  
                         961-1034). The virus has been found in Africa, western Asia, the Middle East, the  
                         Mediterranean region of Europe, and, recently, in the United States. Mosquitoes  
20    become infected with the virus after feeding on infected wild birds, and then transmit the  
                         virus through bites to humans, birds, and animals, such as horses, sheep, cattle, and pigs.

                         In 1999, twenty-five horses in New York with neurological symptoms were  
                         found to have WN virus infection. These horses presented with signs of ataxia,  
                         difficulty walking, knuckling over, head tilt, muscle tremors, and the inability to rise. Of  
25    these twenty-five horses, nine died or were euthanized, and virus, as well as virus-  
                         specific antibodies, were found in tissue samples from these horses. The sixteen  
                         surviving horses all recovered, and also developed WN virus antibody titers. Since then,  
                         increasing numbers of West Nile virus-infected horses have been confirmed.

                         Flavivirus proteins are produced by translation of a single, long open reading  
30    frame to generate a polyprotein, which undergoes a complex series of post-translational  
                         proteolytic cleavages by a combination of host and viral proteases to generate mature  
                         viral proteins (Amberg et al., *J. Virol.* 73:8083-8094, 1999; Rice, "Flaviviridae," In  
                         *Virology*, Fields (ed.), Raven-Lippincott, New York, 1995, Volume I, p. 937). The virus  
                         structural proteins are arranged in the polyprotein in the order C-prM-E, where "C" is

capsid, "prM" is a precursor of the viral envelope-bound M (membrane) protein, and "E" is the envelope protein. These proteins are present in the N-terminal region of the polyprotein, while the non-structural proteins (NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, and NS5) are located in the C-terminal region of the polyprotein.

5

#### Summary of the Invention

The invention provides methods of preventing or treating flavivirus infection (e.g., West Nile virus infection) in non-human mammals (e.g., horses), which involve administering to the non-human mammals chimeric flaviviruses. The invention also provides the use of chimeric flaviviruses in the preparation of medicaments for use in such methods. The chimeric flaviviruses can include, for example, the capsid and non-structural proteins of a first flavivirus (e.g., a yellow fever virus, such as a yellow fever virus derived from the 17D strain) and the prM and envelope proteins of a second flavivirus (e.g., West Nile virus).

The invention provides several advantages. For example, as is discussed below, horses treated using the methods of the invention do not present with adverse side effects due to the vaccination, and yet are protected against substantial virus challenge. Thus, the methods of the invention are highly effective at protecting horses against flavivirus, e.g., West Nile virus, infection. In addition, referring specifically to the yellow fever/West Nile virus chimera described herein, the host range of yellow fever virus is very specific, being limited to primates. Thus, the efficacy of the yellow fever/West Nile virus chimera in protecting horses against West Nile virus challenge was surprising, as horses, which are only distantly related to primates, are well outside of the natural host range of yellow fever virus. Further, because the vaccine viruses used in the invention are chimeric, consisting of material from more than one different virus, the chances of reversion to wild type virus are eliminated.

Other features and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the following detailed description and the claims.

30

#### Detailed Description

The invention provides methods of preventing and treating flavivirus (e.g., West Nile (WN) virus) infection in animals, such as horses. The methods of the invention involve vaccination of animals that are at risk of developing or have flavivirus infection

with a live, attenuated chimeric flavivirus. These viruses consist of a flavivirus (i.e., a backbone flavivirus) in which a structural protein (or proteins) has been replaced with a corresponding structural protein (or proteins) of a second flavivirus, to which immunity is sought. Preferably, the chimeras consist of a backbone flavivirus in which the prM and E proteins have been replaced with the prM and E proteins of the second flavivirus.

The chimeric viruses that are used in the invention can consist of any combination of viruses, provided that, as is mentioned above, the virus to which immunity is desired is the source of the inserted structural protein(s). For example, to vaccinate an animal, such as a horse, against West Nile virus infection, a chimeric flavivirus consisting of a flavivirus backbone, such as that of yellow fever (YF) virus, into which West Nile virus structural proteins (e.g., prM and E proteins) are inserted can be used. In this chimera, the YF prM and E proteins are replaced with those of WN. Similarly, if immunity against Japanese encephalitis (JE) virus is desired, then the prM and E proteins of JE virus can be inserted into a backbone flavivirus, such as a yellow fever virus, in place of the corresponding backbone proteins. Other flaviviruses that cause disease in horses, and for which chimeric viruses can be used for inducing protection, include Kunjin, Murray Valley encephalitis, and Louping ill viruses.

In addition to horses, animals that can be treated using the methods of the invention include, for example, pigs, sheep, cattle, domestic animals, such as cats and dogs, and domestic birds. As specific examples of non-horse vaccinations, sheep can be treated using a chimeric virus including structural insert proteins from Wesselsbron virus or Louping ill virus, and pigs can be treated using a chimeric virus including structural insert proteins from Japanese encephalitis virus.

Thus, examples of flaviviruses that can be used in the invention, as sources of backbone virus or structural protein inserts, include mosquito-borne flaviviruses, such as Japanese encephalitis, Dengue (serotypes 1-4), Yellow fever, Murray Valley encephalitis, St. Louis encephalitis, West Nile, Kunjin, Rocio encephalitis, Wesselsbron, and Ilheus viruses; tick-borne flaviviruses, such as Central European encephalitis, Siberian encephalitis, Russian Spring-Summer encephalitis, Kyasanur Forest Disease, Omsk Hemorrhagic fever, Louping ill, Powassan, Negishi, Absettarov, Hansalova, Apoi, and Hypr viruses; as well as viruses from the Hepacivirus genus (e.g., Hepatitis C virus). Additional viruses that can be used as the source of inserted structural proteins include viruses from the Pestivirus genus (e.g., Bovine diarrhea virus), and other viruses, such as

Lassa, Ebola, and Marburg viruses. As is noted above, preferably, the virus consists of a yellow fever virus backbone containing a West Nile virus insert.

Details of making chimeric viruses that can be used in the invention are provided, for example, in U.S. patent application serial numbers 09/007,664, 5 09/121,587, and 09/452,638; International applications PCT/US98/03894 and PCT/US00/32821; and Chambers et al., J. Virol. 73:3095-3101, 1999, which are each incorporated by reference herein in their entirety.

The vaccines of the invention can be administered in amounts, and by using methods, which can readily be determined by persons of ordinary skill in this art. The 10 vaccines can be administered and formulated, for example, as a fluid harvested from cell cultures infected with the appropriate chimeric virus. The live, attenuated chimeric virus is formulated as a sterile aqueous solution containing between  $10^2$  and  $10^8$ , e.g., between  $10^6$  and  $10^7$ , infectious units (e.g., plaque-forming units (pfu) or tissue culture infectious doses) in a dose volume of 0.1 to 1.0 ml, to be administered by, for example, 15 subcutaneous, intramuscular, or intradermal routes. In addition, a mucosal route, such as an oral route, may be selected. Selection of an appropriate amount of chimera to administer can be determined by those of skill in this art, and this amount can vary due to numerous factors, e.g., the size, type, and general health of the animal to which the chimera is to be administered.

20 As is noted above, the vaccines can be administered as primary prophylactic agents to an animal that is at risk of flavivirus infection. The vaccines can also be used as secondary agents for treating flavivirus-infected animals by stimulating an immune response against the infecting flavivirus. Also, although not required, adjuvants can be used to enhance the immunogenicity of the chimeric vaccines. Selection of appropriate 25 adjuvants can readily be carried out by those of skill in this art.

#### Experimental Results

The safety and efficacy of ChimerVax-WN was evaluated in horses. Efficacy was defined in terms of humoral immune responses and protection from challenge.

30

### Animals

Eleven horses were used in this study, as summarized below in Table 1. The horses were housed in an ABSL3 containment building for the duration of the study, and were fed alfalfa hay and mixed grain.

5

Table 1. Summary of Animal Characteristics and Treatments

Horse	Sex	Age (years)	Treatment	Comments
EQ1	F	8	Vaccinated twice	Fully protected from challenge
EQ2	F	14	Vaccinated twice	Fully protected from challenge
EQ3	F	9	Vaccinated twice	Euthanized before challenge due to laminitis
EQ4	CM	16	Vaccinated twice	Fully protected from challenge
EQ5	F	8	Challenge model development $10^4$ pfu IT	Euthanized 2 days after challenge; did not develop WN-related disease
EQ6	F	9	Challenge model development $10^4$ pfu SC; CSF tap on day 4	Mild WN-related disease with possible recovery
EQ7	F	10	Challenge model development $10^4$ pfu IT	Clinical disease 20-22 days post-inoculation; euthanized on day 24; encephalitis confirmed
EQ8	F	8	Challenge model development $10^5$ pfu IT	Sever clinical disease beginning on day 7; encephalitis confirmed
EQ9	CM	6	Challenge model development $10^5$ pfu IT	Severe clinical disease beginning on day 8; encephalitis confirmed
EQ10	CM	8	Challenge control $10^5$ pfu IT	Severe clinical disease beginning on day 8; encephalitis confirmed
EQ11	CM	11	Challenge control $10^5$ pfu IT	Severe clinical disease beginning on day 8; encephalitis confirmed

### Immunization

Four horses (EQ1, EQ2, EQ3, and EQ4) were immunized by two injections, three weeks apart, of ChimeriVax-WN virus. At each immunization, a dose of  $10^7$  plaque-forming units (pfu) of virus in 1 ml was inoculated subcutaneously over the left shoulder.

Viremia in the vaccinated horses was analyzed using a standard WN plaque assay. Samples were tested in duplicate, at neat and 1:5 dilution. We found that, although the levels of viremia were very low from day 0 through 7, a peak of viremia was detectable on days 3 and 4.

Antibody levels were measured in samples taken from each horse after vaccination, as is indicated in Table 2, using a plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT). In summary, two of the horses developed and 80% reduction titer of 10 within

two weeks of primary immunization, and all four horses had a titer between 10 and 20 at four weeks (one week following the second immunization).

#### Validation of an equine challenge model

5 Mosquito-borne challenge of horses with WNV usually results in viremia, but clinical disease is rare. Thus, to enable assessment of protective immunity to WNV, an appropriate challenge model was developed. The challenge virus used in these studies was WNV NY99 (4132), which was originally isolated from a crow and had been  
10 passaged once in Vero cells and once in C636 cells. Due to the hypersensitivity reactions observed following booster vaccine injections, we passaged the virus an additional time in BHK-21 cells, washed the FBS-containing inoculum off after adsorption, and prepared stocks using 20% WNV/SLE-seronegative horse serum. This FBS-free preparation was diluted in PBS and used for the challenges of horses EQ1, EQ2, EQ4, EQ8, EQ9, EQ10, and EQ11.

15 Most of the horses were challenged by intrathecal inoculation. For this procedure, they were anesthetized with a combination of xylazine and ketamine and a cisternal tap was performed under aseptic conditions. Two ml of CSF were withdrawn, and 1.0 ml of virus was injected. In all cases, recovery from the procedure was uneventful.

20 The results from challenge development studies are summarized as follows.

#### Horse EQ5

This horse was inoculated with  $10^4$  pfu of WNV by the intrathecal route. The horse appeared normal that day and the following, but was found recumbent and poorly  
25 responsive on the morning of day 2. She was euthanized and necropsied, and virus was not recovered from several areas of brain. This animal may have fallen during the previous night and seriously injured her spinal cord, which was not examined at necropsy. It appears clear that her death was not related to WNV infection.

#### Horse EQ6

30 This horse was inoculated with  $10^4$  pfu WNV subcutaneously; four days later, a cisternal puncture was performed with the idea of facilitating passage of virus across the blood brain barrier. On the morning of day 10, she was noticeably anxious and not

normal, but by that evening, had returned to normalcy. No other clinical signs were observed during the 6 weeks following challenge. Serum samples collected twice daily for the first 13 days after inoculation were assayed for virus on Vero cells- no virus was recovered from any specimen. PRNT assays were performed using serum collected on the day of inoculation and 3 weeks later. The 80% (and 90%) neutralization titers on these samples were 10 (<10) and 40 (40) respectively. She had been tested as serologically negative prior to use, so the 1:10 at 80% titer on the day of inoculation was surprising. This animal may have had a mild case of WN.

10 Horse EQ7

This horse was inoculated with  $10^4$  pfu WNV intrathecally; backtitration of the inoculum revealed the dose to be  $6 \times 10^3$  pfu. Clinical signs of disease were absent until day 20, when the animal was noticed to be anxious and nervous. Over the following 2 days, her condition worsened with increased anxiety, head and lip tremors, muscle fasciculation, and rear limb paresis. However, by the evening of day 23, she appeared to be recovering and clinical signs were considerably decreased in severity. She was euthanized on day 24 to allow confirmation that the disease was indeed due to WNV. Histopathological examination of brain revealed a diffuse, widespread encephalitis. Serum samples collected twice daily from day 1 to day 9 were assayed for virus by plaqueing on Vero cells; virus was not isolated from any of the samples. We also failed to isolate virus from CSF and from homogenates of cerebrum, cerebellum, and brainstem collected at necropsy (tissues assayed as 10% suspensions and -1 and -2 dilutions). PRNT titers (80 or 90%) of sera collected on day 0, 7, 14, and 23 were <10, <10, 160, and 160.

25

Horses EQ8 and EQ9

These horses were challenged by intrathecal inoculation of  $10^5$  pfu WNV; back titration revealed the dose administered to be  $2 \times 10^5$  pfu. Both animals remained clinically normal for 7 to 7.5 days, then developed progressively severe disease (clinical descriptions on individual animal records). The course of disease in the two horses was almost identical and both were euthanized and necropsied on day 9. Histopathologic examination revealed severe encephalitis in both horses. Sera collected twice daily following inoculation were assayed for plaque production on Vero cells. The viremia



titers ( $\log_{10}$  pfu/ml) determined were:

	Day post-challenge														
	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.5	6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5
EQ8	<1	<1	<1	1.0	1.0	1.5	<1	1.4	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
EQ9	<1	<1	1.7	2.5	2.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	1.6	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1

Samples of CSF, cerebrum, cerebellum, brainstem, and cranial cervical cord collected at necropsy were assayed for virus on Veró cells. EQ8 had a trace ( $1.5 \log_{10}$  pfu/gram) of virus in brainstem, and EQ8 had a small amount of virus ( $1.3 \log_{10}$  pfu/gram) in cerebellum; all other samples were negative. Both animals had PRNT titers of <10 at the time of challenge. At the time of euthanasia, EQ8 and EQ9 had PRNT titers (90%) of 160 and <10, respectively.

#### Challenge of Vaccinated Horses and Controls

Vaccinated horses EQ1, EQ2, and EQ4 were challenged exactly 24 weeks after primary immunization. Two additional control horses were challenged simultaneously. All of these challenges consisted of intrathecal inoculation of 1.0 ml containing  $10^5$  pfu WVN (FBS-free preparation diluted in PBS). Backtitration of the inoculum indicated that the horses received approximately 125,000 pfu of virus.

The two control horses (EQ10 and EQ11) developed severe clinical disease beginning 7 to 8 days after virus inoculation and were euthanized 8.5 and 10 days after challenge, respectively. At the time of euthanasia, their PRNT antibody titers were 1:40 and <10, respectively. Serum collected at half day intervals between the time of challenge and euthanasia were assayed by plaque production on Vero cells; viremia titers ( $\log_{10}$  pfu/ml serum) are shown in the following tables (negative samples from EQ11 taken after day 8.0 not shown).

	Day post-challenge														
	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.5	6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0
EQ10	1.0	1.3	1.9	1.9	2.3	2.4	2.4	1.8	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
EQ11	<1	1.0	2.8	2.9	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.3	1.3	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1

Samples of CSF, cerebrum, cerebellum, and brainstem collected at necropsy were also assayed by plaque production on Vero cells. Virus was not isolated from either CSF sample. Trace amounts of virus (1-2 plaques per well inoculated with 0.1 ml of 10% suspension) were isolated from all three areas of brain from horse EQ10, and from brainstem only from horse EQ11. Histopathologic examination of the brains of EQ10 and EQ11 revealed widespread encephalitis.

In marked contrast to the two control horses, the vaccinated horses EQ1, EQ2, and EQ4 failed to show any evidence of clinical disease in the 4 weeks following challenge. Further, virus was not isolated from any of the serum samples collected twice daily from these animals during the first 10 days following challenge, nor from samples of cerebrum, cerebellum, brainstem, or CSF collected at necropsy on day 28. Histopathologic examination of their brains did not reveal lesions, other than a few incidental findings not associated with WNV infection.

Antibodies to WNV were assayed in CSF collected at the time of virus inoculation and the time of euthanasia (day 28 for EQ1, EQ2, and EQ4; day 8.5 for EQ10, and day 10 for EQ11). Samples were assayed at dilutions of 1:5, 10, and 20. The samples collected at necropsy from horse EQ1 showed an 87% reduction in plaque count at 1:5, and postmortem sample from EQ4 showed a 98% reduction at 1:5. All other samples had titers of <5 at 80% reduction.

#### Serological Responses to Vaccination

Serum samples were collected from the four immunized horses weekly for the duration of their tenure and stored in duplicate. Neutralizing antibody titers were determined on a subset of these samples, using a standard plaque-reduction neutralization test on Vero cells. Only some of the assays were conducted using 8% human serum in the virus inoculum, as indicated in Table 2.

**Table 2. PRNT Results for Horses Immunized with ChimeriVax-WN**

Sample date	Week	Assay Date*	EQ1	EQ1	EQ2	EQ2	EQ3	EQ3	EQ4	EQ4
			80%	90%	80%	90%	80%	90%	80%	90%
3/9	0	Several	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
3/16	1	11/13-	10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
3/23	2	10/27-	10	10	10	10	<10	<10	<10	<10
3/30	3	10/27-	10	<10	10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
4/6	4	11/02-	20	10	40	40	10	10	<10	<10
4/6	4	10/27-	20	10	20	10	10	10	10	<10
4/6	4	11/13-	20	20	20	10	10	10	10	<10
4/13	5	10/27-	20	20	20	20	10	<10	<10	<10
5/4	8	10/27-	20	10	10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
5/4	8	11/13-	20	20	10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
5/18	10	10/27-	10	10	10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
6/1	12	10/27-	10	10	20	10	<10	<10	<10	<10
6/1	12	11/13-	20	<10	10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
6/29	16	10/27-	10	<10	10	<10	--	--	<10	<10
6/29	16	11/13-	10	<10	<10	<10	--	--	<10	<10
7/27	20	11/13-	10	<10	10	<10	--	--	<10	<10
8/24	24	10/4+	20	20	10	10	--	--	<10	<10
8/24	24	11/02-	10	<10	<10	<10	--	--	<10	<10
8/24	24	11/13-	10	10	<10	<10	--	--	<10	<10
8/31	25	10/4+	40	40	20	20	--	--	20	20
8/31	25	11/02-	20	10	10	10	--	--	20	10
9/7	26	10/4+	≥320	≥320	≥320	≥320	--	--	≥320	≥320
9/7	26	11/02-	320	160	320	160	--	--	640	640
9/7	26	11/13-	320	320	640	320	--	--	1280	640
9/14	27	10/4-	≥320	≥320	≥320	≥320	--	--	≥320	≥320
9/14	27	11/02-	160	160	320	320	--	--	640	320
9/21	28	10/4+	≥320	≥320	≥320	≥320	--	--	≥320	≥320
9/21	28	11/02-	160	160	320	320	--	--	320	160
9/21	28	11/13-	320	160	320	160	--	--	640	320

\* indicates with (+) or without (-) use of labile serum factor

What is claimed is:

1. Use of a chimeric flavivirus in the preparation of a medicament for preventing or treating flavivirus infection in a non-human mammal.

2. The use of claim 1, wherein said non-human mammal is a horse.

5

3. The use of claim 1, wherein said flavivirus infection is West Nile virus infection.

4. The use of claim 1, wherein said chimeric flavivirus comprises the capsid and non-structural proteins of a first flavivirus and the prM and envelope proteins of a second flavivirus.

10

5. The use of claim 4, wherein said first flavivirus is a yellow fever virus.

15

6. The use of claim 5, wherein said yellow fever virus is derived from the 17D strain.

7. The use of claim 4, wherein said second flavivirus is a West Nile virus.

20

8. Use of a chimeric flavivirus comprising the capsid and non-structural proteins of a yellow fever virus and the prM and envelope proteins of a West Nile virus in the preparation of a medicament for preventing West Nile virus infection in a horse.

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
7 August 2003 (07.08.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 03/063725 A3**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **A61K 39/12**, 39/295, C12N 7/00, C12P 21/06 (74) Agent: **MICHAUD, Susan, M.**; Clark & Elbing LLP, 101 Federal Street, Boston, MA 02110 (US).

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US02/33795

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(22) International Filing Date: 21 October 2002 (21.10.2002)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
60/346,845 19 October 2001 (19.10.2001) US

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(63) Related by continuation (CON) or continuation-in-part (CIP) to earlier application:

US 60/346,845 (CIP)  
Filed on 19 October 2001 (19.10.2001)

(71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): **ACAM-BIS, INC.** [US/US]; 38 Sidney Street, Cambridge, MA 02139 (US).

Published:  
— with international search report

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): **MONATH, Thomas, P.** [US/US]; 21 Finn Road, Harvard, MA 01451 (US). **ARROYO, Juan** [US/US]; 102 Ryder Road, South Weymouth, MA 02190 (US).

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:  
30 October 2003

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*



**WO 03/063725 A3**


(54) Title: METHODS OF PREVENTING AND TREATING FLAVIVIRUS INFECTION IN ANIMALS

(57) Abstract: The invention provides methods of preventing and treating flavivirus infection in animals.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US02/33795

<b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b>		
IPC(7) : A61K 39/12, 39/295; C12N 7/00; C12P 21/06 US CL : 424/218.1, 202.1; 435/235.1, 69.1 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
<b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b>		
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S. : 424/218.1, 202.1; 435/235.1, 69.1		
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched		
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Please See Extra Sheet.		
<b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 6,184,024 B1 (LAI et al) 06 February 2001, see the claims.	1-8
Y	WO 98/37911 A1 (ORAVAX, INC.) 03 September 1998, see the claims.	1-8
Y	WO 93/06214 A1 (THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) 01 April 1993, see the abstract.	1-8
Y	GUIRAKHOO et al. Immunogenicity, Genetic Stability, and Protective Efficacy of a Recombinant, Chimeric Yellow Fever-Japanese Encephalitis Virus (ChimeriVax-Je) as a Live, Attenuated Vaccine Candidate against Japanese Encephalitis. Virology. May 1999, Vol. 257, pages 363-372, see the abstract.	1-8
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.		
* Special categories of cited documents:	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"E" earlier document published on or after the international filing date	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"A"	document member of the same patent family
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		
Date of the actual completion of the international search 25 JUNE 2003	Date of mailing of the international search report 11 JUL 2003	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer  A. R. SALIMI Telephone No. (703) 308-1235	

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US02/33795

## C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	MONATH et al. West Nile Virus Vaccine. Current Drug Targets-Infectious Disorders. May 2001, Vol. 1, No. 1, pages 37-50, see the entire document.	1-8
Y	GUIRAKHOO et al. Construction, Safety, and Immunogenicity in Nonhuman Primates of a Chimeric Yellow fever-Dengue Virus Tetravalent Vaccine. Journal of Virology. August 2001, Vol. 75, No. 16, pages 7290-7304, see the abstract.	1-8
Y	ARROYO et al. Molecular Basis for attenuation of Neurovirulence of a Yellow Fever Virus/Japanese Encephalitis Virus Chimera Vaccine (ChimeriVax-JE). Journal of Virology. January 2001, Vol. 75, No. 2, pages 934-942, see the abstract.	1-8
Y	CHAMBERS et al. Yellow Fever/Japanese Encephalitis Chimeric Viruses: Construction and Biological Properties. Journal of Virology. April 1999, Vol. 73, No. 4, pages 3095-3101, see the abstract.	1-8
Y	ARROYO et al. Yellow fever vector live-virus vaccines: West Nile virus vaccine development. Trends in Molecular Medicine. August 2001, Vol. 7, No. 8, pages 350-354, see the entire document.	1-8

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US02/33795

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Electronic data bases consulted (Name of data base and where practicable terms used):

WEST, EPA, JAP, DREWENT, MEDLINE, NPL, BIOSIS, EMBASE

search terms: chime?, flavivirus, preM, Pre?, yellow fever, dengue, attenu?, flavivi?, Japanese encephalitis